ORP DET ORD (1/15/16) 3:19-

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Case No. 3:19-M	IJ-00231- 1
v.		
ADAM D. STRINGHAM	ORDER OF DETH USC § 3142(i))	ENTION AFTER HEARING (18
or attempt to do so, ☐ Upon consideration by the court <i>sua sponte</i> in ☐ serious risk defendant will flee;	or the community for cases involving or attempt to obstruct justice, or threaten volving a:	crimes described in 18 USC § 3142(f)(1) a, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror a, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror
Having considered the nature and circumstances of characteristics of the defendant, and the nature and defendant's release, the court finds that:		idence against the defendant, the history and in and to the community that would be posed by the
\Box The offense charged creates a rebuttable presusafety of the community.	imption in 18 USC § 3142(e) that no co	mbination of conditions will reasonably assure the
 □ No condition or combination of conditions wi □ Foreign citizenship and/or illegal alien □ ICE Detainer □ Deportation(s) □ Multiple or false identifiers 	Il reasonably assure the appearance of d In custody/serving sentence Outstanding warrant(s) Prior failure(s) to appear Mental health issues	lefendant as required due to: Substance use/abuse Unknown family/employment/community ties Unstable/no residence available Information unverified/unverifiable
☐ Aliases Prior criminal history, ☐ including drug/ Prior supervision failure(s), ☐ Including ☐ Other:	illicit drug use, □ including alcohol al	buse
□ No condition or combination of conditions with Nature of offense □ Arrest behavior Possession of weapon(s) □ Violent behavior □ Prior criminal history, □ including drug/d □ Prior supervision failure(s), □ Including □ Other:	☐ Prior supervi☐ Substance us☐ Mental healt☐ Alleged offense,☐ including alc	ision failures se/abuse h issues nse involves child pornography on the internet cohol/alcohol related offense
☐ Other (writ/serving federal or state sentence): ☐ Defendant has not rebutted by sufficient evide ☐ The defendant is detained without prejudice to ☐ THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that: ☐ Defendant is detained prior to ☐ 2. Defendant is committed to the	nce to the contrary the presumption pro further review by the court at a later da trial;	onfinement in a corrections facility separated, as far
3. Defendant shall be afforded a4. The superintendent of the cor	reasonable opportunity for private cons	sultation with his counsel; onfined shall make the defendant available to the
	United States Ma	agistrate Judge